

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A  
(Rule 14a-101)

INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of The Securities Exchange Act of 1934

(Amendment No. )

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material Under Rule 14a-12

---

ARCONIC INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

ELLIOTT ASSOCIATES, L.P.  
ELLIOTT INTERNATIONAL, L.P.  
PAUL E. SINGER  
ELLIOTT CAPITAL ADVISORS, L.P.  
ELLIOTT SPECIAL GP, LLC  
BRAXTON ASSOCIATES, INC.  
ELLIOTT ASSET MANAGEMENT LLC  
ELLIOTT INTERNATIONAL CAPITAL ADVISORS INC.  
HAMBLEDON, INC.  
ELLIOTT MANAGEMENT CORPORATION  
THE LIVERPOOL LIMITED PARTNERSHIP  
LIVERPOOL ASSOCIATES LTD.  
LARRY A. LAWSON  
CHRISTOPHER L. AYERS  
ELMER L. DOTY  
BERND F. KESSLER  
PATRICE E. MERRIN

---

(Name of Persons(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if Other Than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
-

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

---

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

---

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

---

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

---

(5) Total fee paid:

---

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials:

---

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the form or schedule and the date of its filing.

---

(1) Amount previously paid:

---

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

---

(3) Filing Party:

---

(4) Date Filed:

---

---

Elliott Associates, L.P. and Elliott International, L.P., together with the other participants in such proxy solicitation (collectively, "Elliott"), have filed a definitive proxy statement and accompanying **BLUE** proxy card with the Securities and Exchange Commission to be used to solicit votes for the election of its slate of four highly-qualified director nominees at the 2017 annual meeting of shareholders of Arconic Inc., a Pennsylvania corporation (the "Company").

Item 1: On March 24, 2017, Elliott issued the following advertisements:

LinkedIn:

Vote-buying deal revealed? Arconic's overpaid CEO, who resigned from his previous job under an ethical cloud, appears to have shown bad judgment again. Read our letter to employees laying out the facts here: <https://lnkd.in/dCFZtii>

After a string of bad decisions, such as overpaying for fancy real estate and extravagant, unnecessary marketing, Arconic's latest misstep makes clear that change is needed. Check out the troubling new revelations at Arconic by reading our letter to employees here: <https://lnkd.in/dCFZtii>

Are you an Arconic employee? Management is not giving you all the facts about a recently revealed vote-buying deal. Read our letter to employees here: <https://lnkd.in/dCFZtii>

Are you an Alcoa? An out-of-touch CEO continues to squander the proud tradition you built. Read our letter to employees, get the facts and take action here: <https://lnkd.in/dCFZtii>

---

Facebook:

Did an overpaid, underperforming CEO, who already resigned from his previous job under an ethical cloud, demonstrate bad judgment again? Check out the troubling new revelations at Arconic, and learn how you can bring back accountability and increase shareholder value here: <http://newarconic.com/arconic-buy-votes/>

Vote-buying deal revealed? After a string of bad decisions, such as overpaying for real estate and extravagant, unnecessary marketing, Arconic's latest misstep makes clear that change is needed. Sign our petition urging the Board to bring accountability to the boardroom and realize the value of a great company here: <http://newarconic.com/arconic-buy-votes/>

Are you an Arconic shareholder? A troubling revelation shows how management tried to protect itself at your expense. Take action by adding your name to our petition and set a new direction for a promising company here: <http://newarconic.com/arconic-buy-votes/>

Are you an Alcoa? An out-of-touch CEO continues to squander the proud tradition you built. His latest bad deal is the final straw. Get the facts and take action here: <http://newarconic.com/arconic-buy-votes/>

Twitter:

Did an overpaid CEO break the rules to protect his own job? Hold him accountable – sign our petition today. <http://newarconic.com/arconic-buy-votes/>

Vote-buying revealed: Bring accountability to the board, restore the value of a great company, sign our petition: <http://newarconic.com/arconic-buy-votes/>

Arconic shareholder? Management made yet another mistake that squandered value. A chance for a new direction: <http://newarconic.com/arconic-buy-votes/>

Are you an Alcoa? Frustrated with an underperforming CEO squandering the tradition you built? Get the facts! <http://newarconic.com/arconic-buy-votes/>

The image below was used in the above advertisements:



## NEW ARCONIC

### Did Arconic Buy Votes? Bring Back Accountability to Arconic's Board. Sign Our Petition Today.

Add your name below if you agree that the Board of Arconic is in desperate need of change.

Name \*

First Last

Zip Code \*

Email \*

Would you like to be added to our mailing list

Yes

SUBMIT



### Petition

We, the undersigned, are concerned that the management of Arconic Inc. appears to have used potentially valuable claims to obtain a voting agreement that would serve to entrench the Board and/or management.

Legal claims are assets of the Company and, by extension, its shareholders. The trade of valuable corporate assets in connection with a commercial transaction in exchange for a voting agreement promoting the entrenchment of the Board and/or management is contrary to the basic principles of good corporate governance and should not be tolerated by any Board of Directors.

We hereby call on the Board of Arconic to A) promptly and publicly disclose any and all information relating to the voting agreement in question, and B) dismiss anyone involved in devising, authorizing and/or hiding this agreement from Arconic shareholders.

[GO TO NEWARCONIC.COM »](http://www.newarconic.com)

March 23, 2017

Dear Arconic Employees,

Since investing in Arconic, we have gotten to know many current and former Arconic employees. We've come to admire the industrial know-how, technical competence, pride, and integrity of the Alcoa that built this Company. We have a tremendous amount of respect for all of you. You deserve a chief executive that is ethical and upright. While we wish it were otherwise, indications are that you do not currently have such a chief executive.

Last Thursday, we wrote Arconic's Board a letter detailing your chief executive's apparent diversion of corporate assets for his own benefit related to a vote-buying agreement that was deliberately concealed (likely in violation of applicable law) from the Company's shareholders for the better part of a year (the "Secret August Voting Lock-Up").<sup>1</sup>

On Tuesday, you received a letter from your CEO, Klaus Kleinfeld. Without supporting detail or evidence, Dr. Kleinfeld insisted Elliott's "allegations are false."

### First, What Happened?

Almost 3 years ago, in June of 2014, Arconic (then Alcoa) announced that it was buying Firth Rixson for ~\$3 billion in cash and stock.<sup>2</sup> To justify the high price tag, your CEO insisted that Firth had better days ahead as part of Alcoa.<sup>3</sup> Dr. Kleinfeld believed that under his stewardship, Firth Rixson would grow. That didn't happen. Instead, Firth Rixson actually shrunk and in 2016 missed management's earnings target by more than 60%.

Sometime in 2016, Arconic likely decided that it had some legal claims against the Seller of Firth Rixson. *Legal claims like the ones Arconic believed it had against the Seller of Firth Rixson belong to the Company and cannot be used by management for its own personal benefit.* Unfortunately, Dr. Kleinfeld appears to have done just that. He appears to have used Arconic's potential claims to obtain a written agreement that the Seller of Firth Rixson would vote its stock in favor of Dr. Kleinfeld and the Board's recommended nominees at the Company's next two Annual Meetings. *In short, Dr. Kleinfeld appears to have taken claims – assets – belonging to the Company and used them for his own personal benefit and to promote his own entrenchment and that of the Board.*

### Let's go through the relevant issues one-by-one:

#### **Did Arconic enter a vote-buying agreement with the seller of Firth Rixson?**

Yes. Dr. Kleinfeld's own letter confirms that "the agreement included a voting commitment from Oak Hill."

#### **Did Arconic fail to disclose this agreement for seven months?**

Yes. The Secret August Voting Lock-Up was agreed to on August 18<sup>th</sup>. There was no disclosure of the Secret August Voting Lock-Up in the Quarterly Report filed on November 9, 2016, at the Investor Day on December 14<sup>th</sup>, or in the Annual Report filed on February 28, 2017, or the Preliminary Proxy filed on March 2, 2017.

#### **Did Arconic management fail to inform the Board of this arrangement?**

It appears so. Neither the Board nor Dr. Kleinfeld has provided details as to when the Board learned of the Secret August Voting Lock-Up, but, based on the absence of such detail, the hasty response, and the immediate waiver of the agreement, it seems very likely the Board only learned of this scheme when Elliott pointed it out or when it was finally disclosed on March 13, 2017.

#### **Is this Secret August Voting Lock-Up contrary to law?**

It appears so. A fundamental principle of corporate governance is that management cannot use the Company's assets for its own benefit. In his letter to you, Dr. Kleinfeld did not articulate what corporate purpose could possibly have been served by the Secret August Voting Lock-Up. Moreover, the Company's actions are an implicit admission of guilt. If the Secret August Voting Lock-Up served a valid corporate purpose, why did the Company hide the agreement for seven months and why is the Company now "choosing" to waive it?

#### **Is the Secret August Voting Lock-Up contrary to Arconic's own Code of Ethics and Code of Conduct?**

Yes. In Arconic's Code of Ethics, the CEO and other high-level executives are required to "*avoid actual or apparent conflicts of interest between personal and professional relationships.*"<sup>4</sup> Dr. Kleinfeld appears to have entered into a voting agreement on behalf of Arconic with the Seller of Firth Rixson. The benefits of that agreement flowed directly *to him* – the Seller was required to vote its shares in favor of Dr. Kleinfeld, thereby helping him keep his very high-paying job (in which he has been paid over \$128 million dollars by the Board over his nine years at the Company). This is a clear conflict of interest and a violation of Arconic's Code of Ethics.

Page 15 of Arconic's Code of Conduct states that "a bribe is offering, giving or receiving anything of value (no matter how small) in order to improperly influence a business decision."<sup>5</sup> Management says, without evidence, that "no additional value was given for the commitment." This doesn't pass the laugh test. Anyone who has actually worked in a business knows that all negotiated terms of a commercial agreement, by definition, relate directly or indirectly to value – otherwise a commercial enterprise, which exists for the purpose of making money, would not bother to negotiate them. Just as you wouldn't give away your vote for free (in this Proxy Contest or in any other democratic election), Arconic clearly provided at least some value – directly or indirectly – to the Seller of Firth Rixson to obtain the Secret August Voting Lock-Up.

#### **The Board's Responsibility**

Each and every Arconic employee is required to act in accordance with the Company's Code of Conduct, and those deemed financial professionals, including the CEO, are held to even higher standards.<sup>6</sup> All we are asking Arconic's Board to do is to treat top management the same way top management would treat you. There cannot be one set of rules for the people on Park Avenue and a different, higher, standard, for the rest of the employees throughout the organization or out in the field.

Arconic's own outside counsel wrote that it is the Board's job to "establish the appropriate 'tone at the top' to actively cultivate a corporate culture that gives high priority to ethical standards, principles of fair dealing, professionalism, integrity, full compliance with legal requirements, ethically sound strategic goals and long-term sustainable value creation."<sup>7</sup> *We sav to the Arconic Board: Take this advice – you cannot demand that your employees*

#### Why the Board Has Struggled Thus Far To Fulfill Its Responsibility

Board members are human beings, and we are mindful that there are extensive personal ties – longstanding friendships and shared memberships on boards other than Arconic’s – between members of the Board and top management. For instance, the Company’s Lead Independent Director (the only position on the Board having power remotely rivaling that of Dr. Kleinfeld), Patricia Russo, and Dr. Kleinfeld effectively *supervise each other* at Arconic and Hewlett Packard Enterprise Company (“HPE”).

- At Arconic, Ms. Russo serves as Lead Independent Director and is responsible for supervising Dr. Kleinfeld as CEO and Chairman. At the same time, at HPE, Dr. Kleinfeld serves on the committee responsible for supervising Ms. Russo, who serves as the Chairman.
- At Arconic, Ms. Russo sits on the committee responsible for overseeing the compensation of Dr. Kleinfeld as Chairman and CEO. At the same time, at HPE, Dr. Kleinfeld sits on the committee responsible for overseeing Ms. Russo’s pay as Chairman.

Thus, each is responsible for supervising the other and setting the other’s pay and compensation. This remarkable arrangement is surely likely to create some awkwardness and discomfort in light of the current circumstances at Arconic. However, personal ties should not be permitted to affect or cloud the Board’s judgment or its independence, nor should any expectation of continued mutual back-scratching in the future.

#### It’s Not Just Vote-Buying

Since we announced our campaign for a change in Arconic’s leadership, we have received, unsolicited, an outpouring of e-mails and other communications from current and former Arconic employees, customers, and suppliers. They describe highly questionable behavior on the part of management. We have learned that management, in a desperate attempt to keep its lucrative position, has taken a variety of actions that could imperil the long-term sustainability of the Company in order to flatter short-term performance. Specifically, a recent e-mail informed us that “capital spending for 2017 has been indiscriminately slashed” preventing “factories from being able to ramp up their production on growth programs.” Like many in the field at Arconic, this individual “remain[s] in fond hope that the much needed change is not far away.”

We have also heard from scores of demoralized plant employees and former employees deploring the distance, physical and cultural, between management and the team in the field. As one former employee put it, “Moving the corporate headquarters from Pittsburgh to one of the most expensive properties in Manhattan, the Lever Tower, was nothing but self-serving for [the old CEO]. It is my understanding that Kleinfeld took it to the next level by hiring a host of PR people to promote him. How many millions are spent in New York on that office?”

This former employee, echoing many others, wrote, “In your documentation outlining your attempt to rescue Alcoa, you use the word Alcoa. That word was synonymous with the word family. It was a term of endearment and a badge of honor for every one of us.” He added, “As an Arconic shareholder, you have asked me to vote my few shares in favor of your agenda that if successful, would rid the once great company Alcoa of this incompetent management ... I sincerely hope that voting my few shares helps to rid Alcoa of this incompetence.”

To that employee and others, we hear you. We thank you for your vote. And the day is coming when Arconic will once again have the world-class leadership all of its employees and shareholders deserve.

#### We are Long-Term Investors

Management owns very little of Arconic. The Board owns even less – just 0.06%. For both management and the Board, most of its shares were awarded as grants and not purchased on the open market. In fact, *your CEO hasn’t bought a single share of stock – with his own money – since 2007* (before he became CEO) and *he has sold the majority of shares he has been given*. It is likely of far greater importance to the CEO that he keep his high-paying job – \$15 to \$20 million per year evidently regardless of performance – and protect his legion of allies imported from Siemens than it is to promote the long-term interests of the Company.

By contrast, Elliott has an approximately \$1.6 billion investment in Arconic. Our decision to make such a large investment was not made lightly, and it wasn’t made to produce a quick buck. To increase the value of our investment in Arconic, the Company must perform better over the long haul. We are long-term investors, and we are highly committed to seeing Arconic improve in ways that will stand the test of time.

Before you were employees of Arconic, you were Alcoans. We know what that means. You deserve leadership that is competent, honest, and ethical.

Your Board must act – now.

Thank you,

Elliott Management Corporation

<sup>1</sup> On March 13, 2017, Arconic Inc. (“Arconic” or the “Company”) filed a Proxy Statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) which disclosed for the first time that the Company’s resolution of a working-capital adjustment arising out of Arconic’s 2014 acquisition of Firth Rixson included entering into a vote-buying agreement. The agreement locked up the vote of approximately 8.7 million shares of Arconic common stock for a period of two years in exchange for the resolution of potential claims against the former owner of Firth Rixson (the “Secret August Voting Lock-Up” or “Settlement”). The Secret August Voting Lock-Up requires the former owner of Firth Rixson to vote any shares of Arconic common stock that they beneficially own as of the record date in favor of the election of directors nominated by the Company’s Board, including Dr. Kleinfeld.

<sup>2</sup> Accelerating Alcoa’s Transformation, Announcing Firth Rixson Acquisition, Alcoa Inc., June 26th, 2014

<sup>3</sup> Accelerating Alcoa’s Transformation, Announcing Firth Rixson Acquisition, Alcoa Inc., June 26th, 2014

<sup>4</sup> Arconic Code of Ethics, available at <http://www.arconic.com/global/en/investors/code-of-ethics.asp>

<sup>5</sup> Arconic Code of Conduct, available at <https://www.arconic.com/global/en/pdf/arconic-code-of-conduct.pdf>

<sup>6</sup> Arconic Code of Ethics, available at <http://www.arconic.com/global/en/investors/code-of-ethics.asp>

<sup>7</sup> “The New Paradigm: A Roadmap for an Implicit Corporate Governance Partnership Between Corporations and Investors to Achieve Sustainable Long-Term Investment and Growth,” Martin Lipton, Wachtell Lipton Rosen & Katz, prepared for the International Business Council of the World Economic Forum, available at: <http://www.wirk.com/docs/thenewparadigm.pdf>

SIGN OUR PETITION >